Stability of Dabigatran Etexilate in Manufacturer’s Blister Pack, Unit-Dose Packaging, and Community Pharmacy Blister Pack
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ABSTRACT

Background: Dabigatran, a direct thrombin inhibitor, is indicated for the prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolism and for stroke prophylaxis in atrial fibrillation. The manufacturer recommends that dabigatran etexilate be retained in the original packaging until administration. Currently, no information exists about the stability of dabigatran etexilate outside its original packaging.

Objective: To evaluate the stability of dabigatran etexilate capsules over 120 days, with storage in the manufacturer’s original packaging, in unit-dose packaging, and in community pharmacy blister packaging.

Methods:Commercially available dabigatran etexilate capsules (110 mg) were stored at room temperature (25°C) in the manufacturer’s original blister pack, in unit-dose packaging, or in community pharmacy blister packs. Samples were collected from each container daily for the first 3 days, weekly up to 28 days, every other week until day 98, and at day 120. Suspensions were prepared, pH was evaluated, and samples were stored at –85°C until analysis. Each sample was analyzed in duplicate by a validated, stability-indicating high-performance liquid chromatography – ultraviolet detection method. The capsules were considered stable if they maintained at least 90% of the initial concentration.

Results: Dabigatran etexilate capsules maintained 100.4% of the original concentration with 120 days of storage in the manufacturer’s original blister pack, 98.7% with storage in unit-dose packaging, and 98.0% with storage in community pharmacy blister packs. There were no notable changes in appearance, ease of suspension of the capsule content, or pH over the 120-day period.

Conclusion: Dabigatran etexilate 110-mg capsules were stable for 120 days with storage at room temperature in 3 types of packaging widely used in hospital and community settings.
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INTRODUCTION

The variety of agents available for anticoagulation has expanded greatly over the past decade, and vitamin K antagonists are no longer the only oral option for treating thromboembolic conditions. In particular, direct thrombin inhibitors and factor Xa inhibitors are gaining popularity for the prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolism and for stroke prophylaxis in atrial fibrillation. One of the direct thrombin inhibitors, dabigatran, was the first novel oral anticoagulant to become available worldwide. It received approval in Europe (from the European Medicines Agency) in 2008 and in Canada (from Health Canada) and the United States (from the Food and Drug Administration) in 2010.1-3 Dabigatran is approved for multiple indications, including stroke prophylaxis in atrial fibrillation, prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism after hip and knee arthroplasty, and treatment of venous thromboembolism in those who have received an anticoagulant parenterally for 5–10 days.1-3

Dabigatran is a highly selective direct thrombin inhibitor. It rapidly produces competitive, reversible, and concentration-dependent inhibition of the thrombin molecule within the clotting cascade. Dabigatran etexilate is currently available as 75-mg, 110-mg, and 150-mg capsules.1-3 Each capsule contains multiple pellets, each about 1 mm in diameter, composed of a tartaric acid core surrounded by dabigatran etexilate, the prodrug of dabigatran.1-4 Once a capsule is consumed, the dabigatran etexilate is rapidly converted to its active form, dabigatran. The core of each pellet ensures an acidic microenvironment, which promotes drug dissolution and absorption, independent of the patient’s gastric pH.1 The manufacturer reported that in its solid state, dabigatran etexilate was stable for 12 months at room temperature with 60% relative humidity, and it degraded less than 0.5% after 4 weeks in a closed container stored at 70°C.1-3 Although the drug is not sensitive to irradiation with visible light, the presence of the acidic core leads to significant acceleration of the degradation process in the presence of moisture.3 Hence, the product monographs clearly recommend that the product be protected from moisture during storage.1-3

Real-world use of dabigatran etexilate has highlighted several practical concerns regarding its stability. Initially, the manufacturer supplied dabigatran etexilate in 2 different types of packaging: blister packages and bottles. The manufacturer recommends that dabigatran etexilate be stored at a temperature between 15°C and 30°C and that it be retained in the original packaging until administration.1-3 The bottled product contains a desiccant, which protects the drug from moisture, and the capsules may be stored in the manufacturer’s original bottle, with the lid tightly closed, for up to 4 months. However, this type of packaging is no longer available in Canada.6 The blister package, currently the only type of packaging available in Canada, uses foil packaging, which can be difficult to open for those with visual impairment or poor manual dexterity. These storage-related issues pose practical challenges for hospital pharmacies, community pharmacies, and patients.

In the hospital setting, pharmacies use unit-dose packaging to enhance medication safety and minimize errors related to medication administration. Unit-dose packages generally consist of a single medication packaged in individual plastic bags with explicit drug-specific labelling. Workload demands and efforts to increase efficiency with automation mean that medications are often prepackaged, sometimes months in advance. However, given the reported relative instability of dabigatran etexilate once it is removed from the original packaging, hospital pharmacies cannot prepackage this medication; it can be packaged and labelled only after an order has been written. An alternative process is to manually separate, label, and package the manufacturer’s original blister-packaged product by the hospital pharmacy. Both alternatives increase workload and disrupt the flow of distribution services within a hospital pharmacy dispensary. Community pharmacies are also challenged by stability issues for this medication. The manufacturer’s storage requirements prevent inclusion of dabigatran etexilate capsules in blister packs or dosettes, which may increase the risk of noncompliance with therapy and the subsequent risk of thromboembolic events due to underdosing or hemorrhagic events due to overdosing.

No explicit information regarding the stability of dabigatran etexilate and its degradation is available in the literature or from the manufacturer. The manufacturer’s documents note that the drug’s degradation rate is accelerated by moisture and extreme temperatures, but it is unknown whether the extent of degradation in a unit-dose package or a community pharmacy blister pack will be clinically relevant.5 Recently, Bernardi and others7 developed and validated a liquid chromatography method for determining the stability of dabigatran etexilate, but a systematic review of the literature revealed that this method has not yet been used to determine real-world stability of dabigatran etexilate in storage environments other than the manufacturer’s original packaging. The objective of this study was to evaluate the stability of dabigatran etexilate over time with storage at room temperature in 3 different clinically relevant and practical environments: manufacturer’s original blister pack, unit-dose packaging, and community pharmacy blister packaging.

METHODS

The primary outcome of the study was the concentration of dabigatran etexilate after storage at 25°C in the manufacturer’s original blister pack (Pradaxa 110 mg; Boehringer Ingelheim, Burlington, Ontario; lot 205749, expiry April 2015), in unit-dose packaging (Unit Dose System, Medical Packaging Inc, Ringoes, New Jersey), and in community pharmacy blister packs (Medpaks, Healthmark Industries Company Inc, Fraser, Michigan) over a 120-day period, with sampling on days 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 14, 21, 28, 42, 56, 70, 84, 98, and 120. The secondary...
outcome was the pH of dabigatran etexilate over the same 120-day period.

**Physical Characteristics**

The pH was evaluated at the time of preparation, daily for 3 days, weekly up to 28 days, every other week until day 98, and at day 120. At each time point, 3 capsules in each packaging group were emptied. The contents of each capsule were crushed and diluted in high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC–grade water (Fisher Scientific, Whitby, Ontario; lots 134437 and 135622) to a concentration of 20 mg/mL, and the pH was then measured. The pH meter (model 8000, VWR International, Mississauga, Ontario) was calibrated on each study day using commercially available standards (pH 7.00 and 4.00, Fisher Scientific; lots 116554 and 116550, respectively; expiry November 2013 for both). Immediately following pH measurement, 1.5-mL samples from each diluted sample were transferred to polypropylene freezer vials (VWR International) and stored at −85°C until analysis by a published, stability-indicating HPLC–ultraviolet detection method that had been modified and validated in the authors’ laboratory.

**Preparation of Stocks and Standards**

Stock solutions of dabigatran etexilate 1 mg/mL (Sequoia Research Products, Oxford, UK; lot 100601-300d) were prepared in HPLC-grade methanol (Fisher Scientific; lot 134319) to construct the standard curve. Indomethacin powder (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, Ontario; lot 67H1609) diluted in HPLC-grade methanol to a concentration of 1 mg/mL was selected as the internal standard.

Standard solutions of dabigatran etexilate containing 0.150 mg/mL of the internal standard were prepared in HPLC-grade methanol to final concentrations of 0.040, 0.050, 0.060, 0.080, 0.100, and 0.120 mg/mL. All standards were passed through a 13-mm diameter, 0.45-µm microfilter (Acrodisc GHP [Gelman hydrophilic propylene] filter, Waters Corporation Ltd; lot 21829235) to prevent injection of impurities onto the column.

A 6-point calibration curve was prepared, with a blank (water only) at the beginning of each run, to ensure that there was no carry-over from one run to the next. The range of this calibration curve (0.040–0.120 mg/mL) encompassed the diluted test concentration of dabigatran etexilate (0.080 mg/mL). The calibration curve was generated by least-squares regression of the peak area ratio of dabigatran etexilate to indomethacin (the internal standard) and the concentration of each dabigatran etexilate standard.

The precision of the assay was evaluated by intraday and interday validation methods. The intraday variability was determined by running stock solutions of dabigatran etexilate at concentrations of 0.040, 0.070, 0.090, and 0.110 mg/mL in quadruplicate throughout a single day. The interday variability was determined by running the same analyte concentrations as used for the intraday validation in quadruplicate daily for 4 days. The accuracy of the assay was calculated as the mean deviation between nominal and observed concentrations. The mean, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation (CV) were calculated. The acceptable limit of the CV for precision was defined as less than 10% and the acceptable limit for accuracy as greater than 90%.

**Preparation of Samples**

On the day of analysis, the samples were thawed and mixed by vortex mixer for 10 s. From each freezer vial, a 0.3-mL sample was collected and combined with 0.3 mL of methanol; the solution was then centrifuged for 5 min at 5200 rpm (model AccuSpin 1R; Fisher Scientific/Thermo Scientific, Ottawa, Ontario) and further diluted to 1 mg/mL in HPLC-grade methanol. The concentration of each sample was adjusted to 0.080 mg/mL with 0.150 mg/mL of the internal standard. Before injection onto the chromatographic column, each 10-µL sample was filtered through an Acrodisc 0.45-µm microfilter. The samples were also evaluated for appearance and ease of suspension.

**HPLC Instrumentation**

The HPLC instrumentation (Waters Alliance System model 2690, Waters Corporation Ltd) consisted of a delivery pump, an automatic injector equipped with a 200-µL injector, an Atlantis dC18 4.6 mm × 250 mm column (Waters Corporation Ltd; lot 01423316613824), an Atlantis dC18 3.9 mm × 20 mm guard column (Waters Corporation Ltd; lot 0142331781), and an ultraviolet detector (Waters dual-absorbance detector, model 2487) set at 225 nm. The mobile-phase gradient consisted of a 45:50:5 (v/v/v) mixture of methanol (Fisher Scientific; lot 1333730), acetonitrile (Fisher Scientific; lot 135590), and ammonium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich; lot 026K0667) at pH 5.0 and room temperature. All solvents were HPLC-grade and were filtered before use. The range of the flow rate gradient was 1.5 to 0.8 mL/min.

**Forced Degradation of Dabigatran Etxilate**

Dabigatran etexilate 20 mg/mL was prepared from commercially available dabigatran etexilate capsules (Pradaxa 110 mg) in HPLC-grade water. Intentional degradation was attempted by acid hydrolysis using 2N hydrochloric acid (HCl), base hydrolysis using 2N sodium hydroxide (NaOH), oxidation using 30.0% hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and thermal degradation by heating at 90°C for 2 h. The pH of each solution was readjusted to its original level (about 2.30), and the concentration was adjusted to 0.080 mg/mL. The solutions were filtered and injected...
onto the column. The resulting chromatograms were compared with a chromatogram obtained from the calibration curve to determine any changes in concentration, retention time, and peak shape.

**Statistical Analysis**

The means, standard deviations, and CVs for determination of precision and accuracy were calculated for the samples analyzed. For each type of packaging on each study day, the percentage of the initial concentration of dabigatran etexilate was calculated. The percentage of dabigatran etexilate remaining at the end of the study period was calculated from the concentration on day 120, as determined by linear regression and the concentration observed on day 0, according to the following formula: 
\[
\text{Stability} = \left( \frac{\text{concentration on the last day}}{\text{concentration on day 0}} \right) \times 100\%.
\]

The 95% confidence interval (CI) of the amount remaining on the last study day was calculated from the lower limit of the 95% CI of the slope of the curve relating concentration to time, as determined by linear regression. The results of this study are clinically relevant because medications must often be taken out of the manufacturer's packaging and repackaged to facilitate distribution within an institution, to ensure safe administration of medications, or to enhance patients' compliance in the community.

**RESULTS**

Regression analysis of the peak area ratio of dabigatran etexilate to indomethacin (internal standard) versus the concentration of each dabigatran etexilate standard demonstrated linearity over the range of concentrations, with coefficients of determination \((r^2)\) of 0.996 or higher \((n = 4)\). The intraday and interday CVs were within acceptable limits \((i.e., < 10\%): 3.66\% and 2.94\%, respectively, for the 0.040 mg/mL solution; 2.60\% and 4.36\%, respectively, for the 0.070 mg/mL solution; 1.76\% and 3.28\%, respectively, for the 0.090 mg/mL solution; and 2.35\% and 3.72\%, respectively, for the 0.110 mg/mL solution. The intraday and interday accuracy results were also within acceptable limits \((i.e., > 90\%): 94.23\% \pm 2.53\% and 96.91\% \pm 3.09\%, respectively, for the 0.040 mg/mL solution; 97.67\% \pm 1.65\% and 95.73\% \pm 4.27\%, respectively, for the 0.070 mg/mL solution; 96.79\% \pm 2.13\% and 96.72\% \pm 3.28\%, respectively, for the 0.090 mg/mL solution; and 96.81\% \pm 2.17\% and 96.35\% \pm 3.65\%, respectively, for the 0.110 mg/mL solution.

Retention times were 2.75 min for dabigatran etexilate and 1.99 min for the internal standard, indomethacin, on day 0 (Figure 1, panel A) and day 120 (Figure 1, panel F). No interfering peaks were generated by forced degradation of dabigatran etexilate with HCl, NaOH, H₂O₂, or heat (Figure 1, panels B–E, respectively). In general, the dabigatran etexilate peak shifted and/or decreased relative to the original chromatogram. The HPLC method developed was deemed capable of indicating stability. There were no notable changes in pH. Throughout the study period, the mean pH (± standard deviation) was 2.15 ± 0.118 for capsules stored in the manufacturer's original blister pack, 2.15 ± 0.134 for capsules stored in unit-dose packaging, and 2.16 ± 0.083 for capsules stored in community pharmacy blister packs.

The HPLC analysis showed that capsules stored in all types of packaging maintained at least 98% of their original concentration for 120 days (Table 1). The lower limit of the 95% CI relating concentration to time, as determined by linear regression, indicated that dabigatran etexilate 110 mg maintained 100.4% of the original concentration when stored for 120 days at room temperature in the manufacturer's original blister pack, 98.7% of the original concentration when stored in unit-dose packaging, and 98.0% of the original concentration when stored in community pharmacy blister packs, with 95% confidence. There were no notable changes in appearance or ease of suspension of capsule contents.

**DISCUSSION**

To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study of the stability of dabigatran etexilate in multiple environments other than the manufacturer's original packaging. Dabigatran etexilate 110-mg capsules were stable for up to 120 days at 25°C in unit-dose packaging and community pharmacy blister packs, with all capsules maintaining at least 98% of their original concentration and no notable changes in pH. The stability of pH is relevant because dabigatran etexilate is a prodrug enclosed in a pellet with an acidic core. This form ensures an acidic microenvironment to enhance the absorption of dabigatran. Any disruption in pH could alter the dissolution and absorption of the drug. The results of this study are clinically relevant because medications must often be taken out of the manufacturer's packaging and repackaged to facilitate distribution within an institution, to ensure safe administration of medications, or to enhance patients' compliance in the community.

Currently, the Canadian product monograph for dabigatran etexilate recommends, “Store between 15 -30°C. Store in the original package in order to protect from moisture”. The European and US product monographs do not explicitly state the ideal temperature for storage, and none of the monographs provide guidance on the consequences of deviating from recommendations. Given the results of this study, pharmacists and clinicians may choose to repackage dabigatran etexilate to facilitate its distribution in institutional settings (e.g., hospitals or long-term care homes that use unit-dose packaging) when the benefits outweigh the risks. The results of the study may also be used to enhance compliance among patients in the community who need to receive their medications in blister packs.

This study had some limitations. The results cannot be extrapolated to other storage environments (e.g., dosettes that patients fill themselves), storage temperatures other than 25°C,
or storage times longer than 120 days in the studied environments. Also, because stability alone cannot guarantee bioavailability or efficacy, it is recommended that future clinical studies be conducted to evaluate the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of dabigatran etexilate after storage.

CONCLUSIONS

Serial quantitative assessments in the form of HPLC analysis and pH measurements showed that dabigatran etexilate 110-mg capsules were stable for up to 120 days with storage at 25°C in unit-dose packaging and community pharmacy blister packs (as well as the manufacturer’s original blister packs), with all capsules maintaining at least 98% of their original concentration and no notable changes in pH. The results of this study are applicable to community and institutional pharmacies, pharmacists, and clinicians. However, as stability alone cannot guarantee bioavailability or efficacy, future clinical pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics of dabigatran etexilate are warranted.

Figure 1. A: Chromatogram showing a day 0 sample with peak for the internal standard (indomethacin [Indo]) at 1.99 min and peak for dabigatran etexilate (Dab) at 2.75 min. B–E: Chromatograms obtained with forced degradation of dabigatran etexilate, showing shifting or reduction of the dabigatran etexilate peak and noninterfering degradation peaks (B: hydrochloric acid [HCl]; C: sodium hydroxide [NaOH]; D: hydrogen peroxide [H₂O₂]; E: heat). F: Day 120 sample.
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Table 1. Concentration of Dabigatran Etecsilate in 3 Types of Packaging after Storage at 25°C for up to 120 Days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Day</th>
<th>Manufacturer’s Blister Pack</th>
<th>Unit-Dose Packaging</th>
<th>Community Pharmacy Blister Packaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>108.3 ± 4.9 (98.1)</td>
<td>107.1 ± 6.5 (97.0)</td>
<td>112.9 ± 1.7 (102.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>106.6 ± 3.4 (96.5)</td>
<td>108.1 ± 4.8 (97.9)</td>
<td>110.3 ± 3.0 (99.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>108.4 ± 6.7 (98.2)</td>
<td>111.3 ± 2.5 (100.8)</td>
<td>108.4 ± 5.4 (98.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>107.9 ± 3.6 (97.7)</td>
<td>111.5 ± 4.8 (101.0)</td>
<td>106.8 ± 7.6 (96.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>110.3 ± 6.5 (99.9)</td>
<td>109.3 ± 3.5 (99.2)</td>
<td>109.9 ± 2.1 (99.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>107.5 ± 5.1 (97.4)</td>
<td>110.3 ± 3.8 (99.9)</td>
<td>109.9 ± 2.6 (98.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>110.0 ± 3.7 (99.6)</td>
<td>108.2 ± 4.7 (98.0)</td>
<td>108.9 ± 2.6 (98.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>109.1 ± 3.2 (98.8)</td>
<td>113.2 ± 2.3 (102.5)</td>
<td>111.7 ± 2.3 (101.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>109.1 ± 2.0 (98.8)</td>
<td>113.2 ± 1.9 (102.5)</td>
<td>112.5 ± 4.3 (101.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>110.8 ± 2.3 (100.4)</td>
<td>108.9 ± 8.8 (98.7)</td>
<td>114.2 ± 3.7 (103.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>109.7 ± 6.7 (99.4)</td>
<td>112.6 ± 6.6 (102.0)</td>
<td>109.1 ± 4.2 (98.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>111.1 ± 4.5 (100.6)</td>
<td>111.0 ± 3.5 (100.5)</td>
<td>111.0 ± 3.7 (100.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% remaining at 91 days†

Lower limit of 95% CI of % remaining

102.3 102.0 101.5

98.7 98.0

CI = confidence interval; SD = standard deviation.

‡By linear regression.

†Nominal concentration of all samples was 110 mg/mL.