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INTRODUCTION

Atrial fibrillation affects about 350,000 Canadians. A devastating complication of this condition is stroke or systemic embolism, the risk of which is 3 to 5 times greater among patients with atrial fibrillation than among those without the arrhythmia. As such, anticoagulation to prevent thrombotic complications is often required.1,2

For many years, warfarin has been the drug of choice for long-term anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation.3 Apixaban, dabigatran, and rivaroxaban are 3 direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) that have received approval from Health Canada for the prevention of stroke or systemic embolism in patients with atrial fibrillation, as alternatives to warfarin. According to the 2014 guidelines on atrial fibrillation of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society, most patients for whom an oral anticoagulant is indicated should receive a DOAC rather than warfarin (strong recommendation, high-quality evidence).2

It is estimated that about one-third of patients with atrial fibrillation also have chronic kidney disease,4 and rates of stroke, systemic embolism, and bleeding are higher in patients with renal insufficiency.5–7 All 3 DOACs undergo renal elimination to some extent, with about 80% of dabigatran, 35% of rivaroxaban, and 27% of apixaban excreted unchanged in the urine.8–10 In patients with declining renal function, DOACs can accumulate, and dose adjustments are recommended. Therefore, routine monitoring of serum creatinine (SCr) and assessment of creatinine clearance (CrCl) are recommended at least once a year for patients with stable renal function, more frequently if indicated.2

The Cockcroft–Gault (CG) equation uses SCr, age, weight, and sex to estimate CrCl.11 The Canadian product monographs for DOACs8–10 recommend using the CG equation based on actual body weight (CG-ABW) when assessing renal function for the purpose of dose adjustments, as this was the equation used in the randomized controlled trials for these drugs.12–14 However, until the DOACs were introduced into practice, the modified CG equation, standardized to a 72-kg man, was the CrCl equation endorsed by the Regina Qu’Appelle Health Region pharmacy and therapeutics committee. The modified CG equation has been validated in a different population from the populations used in the randomized controlled trials; it increases the ease of estimating CrCl because the patient’s actual body weight is not required.15

When determining an appropriate dosing regimen for the DOACs, accuracy in assessing renal function is imperative, because under- or over-dosing an anticoagulant has been shown to increase the risk of adverse outcomes secondary to thromboembolic or hemorrhagic complications, respectively.16 The purpose of this study was to determine whether the dose of apixaban, dabigatran, or rivaroxaban prescribed for elderly patients with atrial fibrillation was appropriate, based on estimation of renal function using the CG-ABW equation, and to assess the potential impact on dosing recommendations of using the modified CG equation.

The primary objective was to determine the percentage of patients for whom the appropriate dose of a DOAC was prescribed upon discharge from hospital, based on estimation of renal function using the CG-ABW equation. The secondary objectives were to identify the percentage agreement between
dosing recommendations with the CG-ABW and modified CG equations and to categorize the types of physicians prescribing DOACs upon hospital discharge.

METHODS

Study Design

This study was a retrospective chart review of patients with atrial fibrillation who were discharged from 2 tertiary care teaching hospitals. Ethics approval was granted by the Regina Qu’Appelle Health Region Research Ethics Board (REB-14-133).

Selection of Participants

Patients 65 years or older with a diagnosis of atrial fibrillation who were discharged between January and August 2014 and who were taking apixaban 5 mg or 2.5 mg twice daily, dabigatran 150 mg or 110 mg twice daily, or rivaroxaban 20 mg or 15 mg once daily while in hospital were eligible for inclusion in the study. The age criterion specified patients 65 years or older because most patients with atrial fibrillation in this age group are likely to be receiving anticoagulation, and targeting an elderly population provided more opportunity to assess the appropriateness of dose adjustments in renal dysfunction. Patients were excluded if they had a current indication for anticoagulation other than atrial fibrillation, if weight or SCr was not documented in the chart, or if they were not receiving a DOAC at the time of discharge. Patients were identified using BDM Pharmacy (BDM IT Solutions, Regina, Saskatchewan), the medication management software used by pharmacy services within the health region. Of the identified patients, the majority had a prescription for dabigatran or rivaroxaban, as apixaban was added to the local hospital formulary only in March 2014 (partway through the study period). Therefore, in an attempt to achieve the desired sample size and to have an even distribution of patients across the 3 DOACs, all of the patients with a prescription for dabigatran and every second patient with a prescription for dabigatran or rivaroxaban were included.

Data Collection

The following information was collected from patients’ charts: age, sex, weight, drug and dose at the time of patient discharge, SCr last reported in the chart before discharge, and specialty of the discharging physician. This information was then incorporated into a web-based survey tool (FluidSurveys, Ottawa, Ontario).

For each patient, the CG-ABW and modified CG equations (Appendix 1, available at www.cjhp-online.ca/index.php/cjhp/issue/view/117/showToc) were used to calculate the CrCl from the information collected. The DOAC dose at discharge was then assessed for appropriateness, using recommendations in the Canadian product monograph and based primarily on renal function as determined by each CrCl equation (Appendix 2, available at www.cjhp-online.ca/index.php/cjhp/issue/view/117/showToc). Discharge doses were considered appropriate, subtherapeutic, or supratherapeutic if they matched, were lower than, or were higher than the Canadian product monograph recommendations, respectively, based on calculated renal function. In addition, the dose was deemed supratherapeutic for any patient whose CrCl was below 30 mL/min and who was receiving dabigatran or rivaroxaban at discharge or for any patient whose CrCl was below 25 mL/min and who was receiving apixaban at discharge. For dabigatran, both the 150 mg and 110 mg twice daily regimens were considered appropriate if CrCl was greater than or equal to 30 mL/min and the patient was 79 years of age or younger. The criteria for comparison of dosing recommendations between the CG-ABW and modified CG equations are listed in Appendix 3 (available at www.cjhp-online.ca/index.php/cjhp/issue/view/117/showToc).

Statistical Analysis

On the basis of evidence from one previous study, which found that about 50% of patients received the correct dosing regimen for dabigatran,[17] and setting the confidence limit at 95% and assuming an acceptable error rate of 5%, the desired sample size was determined to be 132 cases. The descriptive analysis took the form of frequency distributions for categorical data. Continuous data were examined to determine whether they were normally distributed and suitable for parametric analyses. Variables with normally distributed data are summarized using the mean and standard deviation. Variables with data that were significantly skewed (determined by examination of the Fisher skewness coefficient) are presented as medians and interquartile ranges. To evaluate agreement in terms of DOAC dosing between the 2 equations (CG-ABW and modified CG), the exact percentage agreement was determined, and the kappa statistic was used to correct for chance agreement between the 2 methods. In comparing dosing recommendations generated by the CG-ABW and modified CG equations, χ² analysis was used to determine whether there was a difference in the observed proportion of matched and mismatched cases that were male or female. To determine whether there was a significant difference in patient age, weight, or SCr between matched and mismatched groups, a Mann–Whitney test was performed. χ² analysis was also used to determine whether there was a difference in appropriate versus inappropriate dosing by physician specialty. All analyses were performed with SPSS Statistics for Windows software, version 22.0 (released 2013; IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York).
RESULTS

A total of 198 patients were screened for inclusion, of whom 136 met the predefined criteria (Figure 1). Patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. Most of the patients had a prescription for either rivaroxaban ($n = 57$ [42%]) or dabigatran ($n = 52$ [38%]); the remainder were to receive apixaban ($n = 27$ [20%]). For one of the patients who was receiving rivaroxaban, the prescribed dosage was 15 mg daily while in hospital, but the dosage upon discharge was 10 mg daily.

The assessments of discharge doses in relation to recommendations in the Canadian product monographs are summarized in Table 2. Overall, on the basis of the CG-ABW equation, the prescribed dose upon discharge was appropriate for 102 (75%) of the patients. For the 34 (25%) patients whose prescribed discharge dose was inappropriate on the basis of the CG-ABW equation, the prescribed dose was subtherapeutic for 20 (15% of the entire sample) and supratherapeutic for 14 (10%); within the latter group, 8 patients (6% of the entire sample) had a prescription for DOAC but should not have received this type of therapy because of renal insufficiency.

The CG-ABW and modified CG equations resulted in the same dosing recommendations for 120 of the 136 patients, providing an overall percent agreement of 88% ($kappa = 0.695$, $p < 0.001$). Of the remaining 16 patients, for whom the 2 equations resulted in different dosing recommendations, the modified CG equation would have led to 6 subtherapeutic doses and 10 supratherapeutic doses (and for 5 of these latter cases, DOAC use would not be recommended because of renal insufficiency) (Table 3). Age, sex, weight, and SCr were analyzed in an attempt to identify which variables accounted for the discrepancies in dosing recommendations between the 2 equations. Patients for whom there was a recommendation discrepancy were found to have higher median SCr than patients with similar dosing recommendations between the 2 equations (107.5 versus 89 µmol/L; $p = 0.035$).

Patients receiving DOAC therapy were discharged from hospital by family practice physicians ($n = 56$ [41%]), surgeons ($n = 22$ [16%]), cardiologists ($n = 19$ [14%]), internists ($n = 15$ [11%]), medical residents/students ($n = 8$ [6%]), respirologists ($n = 6$ [4%]), nephrologists ($n = 4$ [3%]), neurologists ($n = 3$ [2%]), critical care intensivists ($n = 2$ [1%]), and a critical care associate ($n = 1$ [1%]). Statistical analyses were performed for the top 3 physician groups, with the remaining physicians categorized as “other”. There were no significant differences by physician specialty in appropriateness of dosing on the basis of the CG-ABW equation ($p = 0.85$). The percentage of physicians in each specialty who prescribed an appropriate discharge dose ranged from 68% to 82% (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The DOACs are high-risk medications, and their respective Canadian product monographs8-10 provide dosing recommendations to help ensure safe and effective use. The results of this study show that 1 in every 4 patients with atrial fibrillation was receiving an inappropriate dose of a DOAC at discharge, based on estimation of renal function using the CG-ABW equation.

For most of the patients receiving an inappropriate dose at discharge, the prescribed dose was subtherapeutic (15% of all patients). A similar finding was reported in a study of

![Figure 1. Flow chart of patient selection. AF = atrial fibrillation, DOAC = direct oral anticoagulant.](image-url)
patterns of dabigatran use among patients enrolled in an atrial fibrillation registry, in which 10% (91/920) of patients with preserved renal function had subtherapeutic dosing; clinical outcomes were not reported.\textsuperscript{18} Currently, there are no data on clinical outcomes for patients with subtherapeutic dosing of a DOAC. Studies have shown that the risk of thromboembolism increases markedly for patients with atrial fibrillation who are receiving warfarin when the international normalized ratio (INR) is less than 1.8, which may result in death for more than 50% of patients and significant disability in the majority of those who survive.\textsuperscript{16,15} In the clinical trials,\textsuperscript{12-14} the rates of thromboembolic events among patients receiving DOACs at therapeutic doses were similar to the rate among patients receiving warfarin. As such, an increase in the risk of thromboembolic events is possible at subtherapeutic doses. Data from atrial fibrillation registries may provide further insight into the clinical outcomes associated with inappropriate dosing of DOACs.

Supratherapeutic dosing of anticoagulants is also of concern because the risk of hemorrhagic complications increases in this situation.\textsuperscript{16} Overall, about 1 in every 10 patients in this study was at increased risk of bleeding because a supratherapeutic dose of DOAC had been prescribed: 8% of patients receiving dabigatran, 11% of patients receiving apixaban, and 12% of patients receiving rivaroxaban. A 2013 review of the literature identified several case reports of severe hemorrhagic complications that occurred with supratherapeutic dosing of dabigatran and rivaroxaban.\textsuperscript{20} This outcome is similar to what has been observed with warfarin therapy, whereby the risk of bleeding increases significantly with INR values above 3.5.\textsuperscript{16} Patients with severe renal impairment are particularly vulnerable to adverse bleeding events, because renal insufficiency is an independent risk factor for bleeding.\textsuperscript{2} As well, accumulation

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Characteristics of Patients Included in a Retrospective Analysis of Direct Oral Anticoagulant Therapy in Older Adults}
\begin{tabular}{|l|cccccc|}
\hline
Characteristic & \textbf{Apixaban} & \textbf{Dabigatran} & \textbf{Rivaroxaban} & \textbf{Combined} \\
\hline
No. of patients & 9 & 18 & 13 & 35 & 21 & 1 & 136 \\
Sex, no. (\%) male & 3 (33) & 5 (28) & 10 (77) & 23 (66) & 12 (57) & 0 (0) & 69 (51) \\
Age (years) (mean ± SD) & 76.4 ± 8.0 & 88.2 ± 5.7 & 72.4 ± 3.2 & 82.8 ± 7.0 & 75.2 ± 7.4 & 84.9 ± 5.4 & 90 & 80.5 ± 8.3 \\
Weight (kg) (median and IQR) & 92 & 65 & 86.7 & 71.5 & 82.7 & 70 & 55 & 75 \\
Serum creatinine (\(\mu\)mol/L) (median and IQR) & 85 & 99 & 88 & 87 & 103 & 63 & 90.5 & (78.25–111.50) \\
Creatinine clearance (ml/min) (mean ± SD) & 72.7 ± 21.0 & 37.0 ± 9.4 & 77.1 ± 20.1 & 52.0 ± 19.1 & 79.3 ± 41.4 & 47.0 ± 10.1 & 61 & 55.9 ± 23.2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Assessment of Discharge Doses Based on Canadian Product Monograph Recommendations, Using the Cockcroft–Gault Equation with Actual Body Weight}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Dose Category} & \textbf{Apixaban} & \textbf{Dabigatran} & \textbf{Rivaroxaban} & \textbf{Combined} \\
\hline
Subtherapeutic & 10 (37) & None & 10 (18) & 20 (15) \\
Appropriate & 14 (52) & 48 (92) & 40 (70) & 102 (75) \\
Supratherapeutic & 3 (11) & 4 (8) & 7 (12) & 14 (10) \\
Lower dose recommended & 2 (7) & 0 & 4 (7) & 6 (4) \\
Use not recommended & 1 (4) & 4 (8) & 3 (5) & 8 (6) \\
Total & 27 (100) & 52 (100) & 57 (100) & 136 (100) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Dosing Recommendations Based on Canadian Product Monographs Using Modified CG Equation, Relative to CG-ABW Equation}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Dose Category with Modified CG Equation, Relative to CG-ABW Equation} & \textbf{No. (%) of Patients} & \textbf{Drug; No. (%) of Patients} \\
& \textbf{Apixaban\textsuperscript{a}} & \textbf{Dabigatran\textsuperscript{b}} & \textbf{Rivaroxaban\textsuperscript{b}} & \textbf{Combined} \\
\hline
Subtherapeutic dose & 6 (4) & None & 10 (18) & 20 (15) \\
Same dosing recommendation as with CG-ABW equation & 120 (88) & & & \\
Supratherapeutic dose\textsuperscript{*} & 10 (7) & & & \\
Total & 136 (100) & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
of DOACs can occur in this population, which may further increase the risk of hemorrhagic complications.8-10 In the current study, 8 of the patients with a supratherapeutic dose upon discharge (6% of the entire sample) had a prescription for a DOAC despite poor renal function (a situation in which use of this therapy is not recommended); for most of these patients, dabigatran or rivaroxaban was prescribed. Patients with CrCl less than 30 mL/min who were receiving dabigatran or rivaroxaban and those with CrCl less than 25 mL/min who were receiving apixaban were excluded from the landmark clinical trials of DOACs in atrial fibrillation; thus, the safety and efficacy of DOAC therapy cannot be predicted for this population.

The CG-ABW and modified CG equations resulted in good agreement overall in terms of dosing recommendations. However, even though the discrepancies in dosing recommendations between the 2 equations were not statistically significant in the current study, impacts on the patient may be clinically relevant. For example, when there were discrepancies between the 2 equations, the patients affected the most were more likely to have a higher SCr value. Consequently, the greatest disagreement between the 2 equations occurred for patients in whom DOAC use was not recommended because of renal impairment: of the 8 patients identified as having renal impairment with the CG-ABW equation, only 3 (38%) were identified as having renal impairment when the modified CG equation was used. Therefore, when providing dosing recommendations for the DOACs, it is important to consider that the randomized controlled trials used the CG-ABW equation and that use of the modified CG equation could result in different recommended doses, especially for patients with higher SCr, which may in turn affect patient outcomes.12-14

Most of the patients in this study were discharged under the care of family practice physicians, surgeons, or cardiologists, a finding consistent with at least one other study, which identified cardiologists and family practitioners as the primary prescribers of DOACs.21 The current results indicate no significant difference in appropriateness of dosing of DOACs among different specialties, although the study was not adequately powered to detect such a difference. However, a trend toward less appropriate prescribing by family medicine practitioners was identified when assessing the discharge doses based on the CG-ABW equation.

This study had several limitations. Given that it was a retrospective analysis and long-term follow-up data were not available, clinically important outcomes associated with the doses prescribed, such as thromboembolic or hemorrhagic events, could not be reported. Also, European guidelines provide dosing recommendations for apixaban and rivaroxaban in patients with CrCl as low as 15 mL/min, which may account for the use of these agents in some patients with renal insufficiency.22 Another limitation is that SCr values are affected by many factors and hence may be dynamic; values for this variable should be interpreted on the basis of trends rather than single measurements. The DOAC doses were assessed on the basis of a single SCr value, which may not be a true representation of a patient’s renal function. However, the last SCr reported in the chart is part of the final assessment of renal function before discharge and should be considered during prescribing. Weight is another dynamic variable that may not be frequently updated in the chart; weight variation could affect dosing recommendations.

**CONCLUSION**

According to an assessment of renal function using the CG-ABW equation, as recommended in the Canadian product monographs, 1 out of every 4 patients in the study sample was receiving an inappropriate dose of DOAC at discharge. Although the modified CG equation showed good agreement overall with the CG-ABW equation in this retrospective analysis, use of the CG-ABW equation is preferable, given that the modified CG equation identified fewer than half of the patients in whom DOAC use was not recommended because of renal insufficiency.
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