

4. Boyko WL, Yurkowski PJ, Ivey MF, Armistead JA, Roberts BL. Pharmacist influence on economic and morbidity outcomes in a tertiary care teaching hospital. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 1997;54:1591-5.
5. Bjornson DC, Hiner WO Jr, Potyk RP, Nelson BA, Lombardo FA, Morton TA, et al. Effect of pharmacists on health care outcomes in hospitalized patients. *Am J Hosp Pharm* 1993;50:1875-84.
6. Rainville EC. Impact of pharmacist interventions on hospital readmissions for heart failure. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 1999;56:1339-42.
7. Klinger P. Protocols for pharmacists' interventions in a 160 bed hospital. *Hosp Pharm* 1990;25:917-21.
8. Kucheran B. On the threshold: Is prescribing authority the next horizon for pharmacists? *BC Pharm* 1995;4(4):7-14.
9. Brown G. Assessing the clinical impact of pharmacists' interventions. *Am J Hosp Pharm* 1991;48:2644-7.
10. Skoutakis VA, Acchiardo SR, Martinez DR, Lorisich D, Wood GC. Role-effectiveness of the pharmacist in the treatment of hemodialysis patients. *Am J Hosp Pharm* 1978;35:62-5.
11. Golightly LK, O'Fallon CL, Moran WD, Sorocki AH. Pharmacist monitoring of drug therapy in patients with abnormal serum creatinine levels. *Hosp Pharm* 1993;28:725-32.
12. Jameson J, VanNoord G, Vanderwoud K. The impact of a pharmacotherapy consultation on the cost and outcome of medical therapy. *J Fam Pract* 1995;41:469-72.
13. Collaborative drug therapy management. In: ASHP state government affairs issues summary [online]. Vol 8, no. 5. Bethesda (MD): American Society of Health-System Pharmacists; 2001 May. Available: www.ashp.org/public/proad/state/may_2001.html. Accessed 2002 Oct 28.
14. Conlan MF. Pharmacist prescribing. *Drug Topics* 1997;141(18):62-64,67.
15. Shefcheck SL, Thomas J. The outlook for pharmacist initiation and modification of drug therapy. *J Am Pharm Assoc* 1996;36:597-604.
16. Ellenor FL, Dishman BR. Pharmaceutical care role model in psychiatry — pharmacist prescribing. *Hosp Pharm* 1995;30:371-3,377-8.
17. Fuller TS, Christensen DB, Williams DH. Satisfaction with prescriptive authority protocols. *J Am Pharm Assoc* 1996;36:739-45.
18. Falconnier AD, Haefeli WE, Shoenenberger RA, Surber C, Martin-Facklam M. Drug dosage in patients with renal failure optimized by immediate concurrent feedback. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16:369-75.
19. Child D, Cantrill JA. Hospital doctors' perceived barriers to pharmacist prescribing. *Int J Pharm Pract* 1999;7:230-7.
20. Boatwright DE. Legal aspects of expanded prescribing authority for pharmacists. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 1998;55:585-94.
21. Sibbald B. RNs seek broader prescribing powers in quest for more autonomy. *CMAJ* 2000;163:600-1.
22. CSHP Task Force on Pharmacist Prescribing. An information paper on pharmacist prescribing within a health care facility. *Can J Hosp Pharm* 2002;55:56-62.

Jim Szeto, BScPhm
Pharmacy Student
Pharmacy Department
St Paul's Hospital
Vancouver, British Columbia

Glen Brown, PharmD
Clinical Coordinator
Pharmacy Department
St Paul's Hospital
Vancouver, British Columbia

Anti-Factor Xa Monitoring in Overweight and Obese Patients

In a recent literature review of thromboembolic treatment, Rosenbloom and Ginsberg concluded that there is no evidence to support the utility of monitoring anti-factor Xa levels to determine the safety or efficacy of low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) therapy.¹ However, they suggested that further studies to determine the value of monitoring anti-Xa levels in obese patients might be appropriate. Indeed, other authors have suggested that periodic monitoring of peak anti-Xa levels in adults with body weight greater than 150 kg might be prudent, to minimize the risk of bleeding complications or thrombosis.²

We performed a pilot study to determine if patients of various body weights had the same response to weight-based dosing of LMWH as indicated by measurement of anti-Xa levels.³ Patients being treated with dalteparin for venous thromboembolism were stratified *a priori* into 3 weight classes: within 20% above ideal body weight, between 20% and 40% above ideal body weight, and more than 40% above ideal body weight. The largest patient weighed 190 kg. No difference between these groups was observed for any of the levels monitored (day 3 and 5 trough levels and day 3 peak levels of anti-Xa). No thromboembolic or bleeding complications occurred in any of the patients during LMWH therapy.

The apparent volume of distribution of LMWHs is confined to the intravascular space, which corresponds to lean body mass. Adipose tissue has relatively low blood volume, and plasma volume does not increase substantially with obesity.⁴ Although true weight-based LMWH dosing was safe and effective in our study, it is still unclear whether obese patients should be dosed according to ideal or actual body weight.

Overall, published data are lacking regarding the safety and efficacy of LMWH treatment in obese patients. The results of our small pharmacokinetic study seem to imply that there is no rationale for monitoring anti-Xa levels in this population.

References

1. Rosenbloom D, Ginsberg JS. Arguments against monitoring levels of anti-factor Xa in conjunction with low-molecular-weight heparin therapy. *Can J Hosp Pharm* 2002;55:15-9.
2. Duplaga BA, Rivers CW, Nutescu E. Dosing and monitoring of low-molecular-weight heparins in special populations. *Pharmacotherapy* 2001;21:218-34.
3. Wilson SJ, Wilbur K, Burton E, Anderson DR. Effect of patient weight on the anticoagulant response to adjusted therapeutic dosage of low-molecular-weight heparin for the treatment of venous thromboembolism. *Haemostasis* 2001;31:42-8.



4. Frydman A. Low-molecular-weight heparins: an overview of their pharmacodynamics, pharmacokinetics and metabolism in humans. *Haemostasis* 1996;26(Suppl 2):24-38.

Kerry Wilbur, BScPharm, PharmD

Pharmacotherapeutic Specialist — Internal Medicine

CSU Pharmaceutical Sciences

Vancouver General Hospital

Clinical Assistant Professor

University of British Columbia

Vancouver, British Columbia